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What you have learned so far

The analysis is computed by minimising 4D-Var

\[ J(x_0) = \frac{1}{2}(x_0 - x_b)^T B^{-1}(x_0 - x_b) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{K} [y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k)]^T R_k^{-1}[y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k)] \]

using the model’s equations

\[ x_k = \mathcal{M}_k(x_{k-1}) \]

4D-Var combines model predictions with observations (errors have to be random with zero-mean)
Most observations have biases

The USS Jeannette (1879, Artic, 33 crew members)

SST measurements from buckets have a cold bias (~0.4C)

Courtesy of P. Brohan and G. Compo
Most observations have biases

D-Day (1944, France)

SST measurements from Engine Room Intake (ERI) have a warm bias (~0.2C)
Assimilation of biased observations

The standard 4D-Var formulation is designed to cope with random, zero-mean errors from the model and the observations.

If biased observations are assimilated, the resulting analysis will be biased. In this case the background is more accurate than the analysis!
How to remove observation biases

Before the assimilation, based on instrument properties

During the assimilation, using information from the model and reference observations

\[
J(x_0, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} (x_0 - x_b)^T B^{-1} (x_0 - x_b) \\
  + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \left[ y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k) - b(x_k, \beta) \right] ^T R_k^{-1} \left[ y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k) - b(x_k, \beta) \right] \\
  + \frac{1}{2} (\beta - \beta_b)^T B_\beta^{-1} (\beta - \beta_b)
\]

→ designed to estimate simultaneously the initial condition and parameters that represent systematic errors in the observation system

→ the bias model copes with instrument miscalibration (e.g. radiances systematically too warm by 1K) or systematic errors in the observation operator
How to estimate model biases

The GPS satellites are used for positioning and navigation. GPS-RO (Radio Occultation) is based on analysing the bending caused by the atmosphere along paths between a GPS satellite and a receiver placed on a low-earth-orbiting satellite.

→ As the LEO moves behind the earth, we obtain a profile of bending angles
→ Temperature profiles can then be derived (a vertical interval between 10-50 km)
→ GPS-RO can be assimilated without bias correction. They are good for highlighting errors/biases
How to estimate model biases

Temperature estimate from the GPS-RO measurements

Courtesy of Corwin J. Wright
How to estimate model biases

The first-guess trajectory of the model can be compared to unbiased observations

Errors in models are often systematic rather than random, zero-mean
→ Model has a temperature cold bias in the lower/mid stratosphere
→ Model has a warm bias in the upper stratosphere
How to estimate model biases

GPS-RO temperature retrievals provide an homogeneous observing system that can be used to study the spatial distribution of the model error

→ The IFS model shows very large structures in the temperature model bias
Assimilation with a biased model

The standard 4D-Var formulation is designed to cope with random, zero-mean errors from the model and the observations.

The model produces a biased first-guess trajectory. Even if observations are unbiased and accurate, the final analysis will be biased.
We assume that the model is not perfect, adding an error term $\eta$ in the model equation

$$x_k = M_k(x_{k-1}) + \eta \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, K$$

The model error estimate $\eta$ contains 3 physical fields

- temperature
- vorticity
- Divergence

Constant model error forcing over the assimilation window to correct the model bias

$$J(x_0, \beta, \eta) = \frac{1}{2}(x_0 - x_b)^T B^{-1}(x_0 - x_b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{K} [y_k - H(x_k) - b(x_k, \beta)]^T R_k^{-1} [y_k - H(x_k) - b(x_k, \beta)] + \frac{1}{2} (\beta - \beta_b)^T B_{\beta}^{-1} (\beta - \beta_b) + \frac{1}{2} (\eta - \eta_b)^T Q^{-1} (\eta - \eta_b)$$

→ Introduce additional controls to target an unbiased analysis
→ The model error covariance matrix $Q$ constrains the model error field (22 millions of parameters)
Weak constraint 4D-Var

Strong constraint 4D-Var

\[ x_k = \mathcal{M}_k(x_{k-1}) \]

Weak constraint 4D-Var

\[ x_k = \mathcal{M}_k(x_{k-1}) + \eta \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \cdots, K \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{Large bias and standard deviation in the analysis} \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{Bias in the analysis has been reduced, standard deviation as well} \]
IFS is based on a hybrid spectral/grid-point model

The spectral transform

\[ A(\lambda, \mu, \eta, t) = \sum_{l=0}^{T} \sum_{|m| \leq l} \psi_{lm}(\eta, t) Y_{lm}(\lambda, \mu) \]

- First wave numbers contain large scale signals
- Last wave numbers contain small scale signals
Specification of B and Q

Assimilation is computed in spectral space

**Standard deviation in B**

**Standard deviation in Q**

**Information spread in B**

**Information spread in Q**

Assimilation is computed in spectral space.
Weak constraint 4D-Var results with the QG model

The Quasi-Geostrophic (QG) model is very important in geophysical fluid dynamics as it describes some aspects of flows in the oceans and atmosphere very well.

Experiment framework

→ A bias is introduced in the model

\[ x_k = M_k(x_{k-1}) + \eta \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \]

→ Observations are generated

→ Can weak constraint 4D-Var estimate correctly the model bias?
Weak constraint 4D-Var results with the QG model

**Weak constraint 4D-Var**

Model state increment $\delta x$

Model bias estimate $\eta$

→ Good separation between $\delta x$ correcting the small scale random errors and $\eta$ correcting the large scale systematic errors

**Strong constraint 4D-Var**

Model state increment $\delta x$

→ Model state increment $\delta x$ includes the two types of error, poor fit to the observations
Weak constraint 4D-Var results with the QG model

Information is cycle in the weak constraint 4D-Var

→ First-guess trajectory fir better and better the observations

→ More accurate analysis is produced
Weak constraint 4D-Var results with IFS

Bias estimated by the weak constraint 4D-Var

Model bias estimated from GPS-RO temperature retrievals
Weak constraint 4D-Var results with IFS

Departure statistics with radiosondes

Instrument(s): TEMP–T
Area(s): N.Hemis S.Hemis Tropics
From 00Z 1–Oct–2018 to 00Z 29–Nov–2018

→ Stratospheric bias in the analysis and in the first guess is reduced
Weak constraint 4D-Var results with IFS

Departure statistics with GPS-RO

Instrument(s): METOP–AR, AS, BR, BS, COSMIC–1R, 1S, 6R, 6S
Area(s): N. Hemis, S. Hemis, Tropics
From 00Z 1–Oct–2018 to 00Z 29–Nov–2018

→ Stratospheric bias in the analysis and in the first guess is reduced
Summary

Background: unbiased (only random errors)
Observation: unbiased (only random errors)
Standard 4D-Var

Background: unbiased (only random errors)
Observation: biased
Standard 4D-Var & Variational Bias Control (VarBC)

Background: biased
Observation: unbiased (only random errors)
Weak constraint 4D-Var
Summary

How can we separate background and model error?
How can we specify B and Q covariance matrices?

Random errors tend to be small scales while systematic errors tend to be large scale

Weak constraint 4D-Var with scale separation reduces the stratospheric temperature bias in the analysis
In real world applications

How do I know if my observations are biased?
How do I know if my model is biased?
I’m not running twin experiments, I don’t know the truth

Reference observations are used

[Images of Radiosondes and GPS-RO]
In real world applications

From bias-blind to bias-aware data assimilation

\[
J(x_0, \beta, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} (x_0 - x_b)^T B^{-1} (x_0 - x_b) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\text{Radiosonde}} [y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k)]^T R_k^{-1} [y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k)] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\text{GPSRO}} [y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k)]^T R_k^{-1} [y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k)] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\text{Others}} [y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k) - b(x_k, \beta)]^T R_k^{-1} [y_k - \mathcal{H}(x_k) - b(x_k, \beta)] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (\beta - \beta_b)^T B_\beta^{-1} (\beta - \beta_b) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (\eta - \eta_b)^T Q^{-1} (\eta - \eta_b)
\]
Future of weak constraint 4D-Var

In Numerical Weather Prediction, weak constraint 4D-Var could be investigated to correct other variables (e.g. humidity) or other areas (e.g. troposphere).

In climate reanalysis, weak constraint 4D-Var could be applied over the whole period to reduce spurious climate change due to changes in the observing system.

In other component of the Earth system, weak constraint 4D-Var could be applied to the ocean to deal with temperature/salinity biases.

Any questions? Feel free to contact me patrick.laloyaux@ecmwf.int