Introduction to Parallel Computing

George Mozdzynski

George.Mozdzynski@ecmwf.int





© ECMWF April 7, 2015

Outline

- Parallel computing?
- Types of computer
- Parallel Computers today
- Challenges in parallel computing
- Parallel Programming Languages
- OpenMP/OpenACC and MPI



What is Parallel Computing?

The simultaneous use of more than one processor or computer to solve a problem



Why do we need Parallel Computing?

- Serial computing is too slow
- Need for large amounts of memory not accessible by a single processor



T2047 IFS global model (10 km) performance on CRAY XE6, 2012







Measuring Performance

- Wall Clock
- Floating point operations per second (FLOPS or FLOP/S)
 - Peak (Hardware), Sustained (Application)
- SI prefixes

Mega	Mflops	10**6
Giga	Gflops	10**9

- For Tera Tflops 10**12
- Peta Pflops 10**15 ECMWF: 2 * 1.79 Pflops peak (XC-30)
- Exa, Zetta, Yotta
- Instructions per second, Mips, etc,
- Transactions per second (Databases)











Types of Parallel Computer

P=Processor M=Memory S=Switch





Shared Memory

Distributed Memory



IBM/CRAY Cluster (Distributed + Shared memory)

P=Processor M=Memory S=Switch



Node

Node



CRAY XC-30 clusters at ECMWF

One of the TWO identical XC-30 clusters





...and one the world's fastest (#4) and largest supercomputers – Fujitsu K computer



705,024 Sparc64 processor cores



ORNL's "Titan" System

- #1 in Nov 2012 Top500 list, and #2 today
- 7.5X peak perf. of ECMWF's CRAY XC-30 clusters (CCA+CCB=3.6 Petaflops peak)
- Gemini interconnect
 - 3-D Torus
 - Globally addressable memory
- AMD Interlagos cores (16 cores per node)
- Accelerated node design using NVIDIA K20 "Kepler" GPUs
- 600 TB DDR3 mem. + 88 TB GDDR5 mem

Titan Specs	
Compute Nodes	18,688
Login & I/O Nodes	512
Memory per node	32 GB + 6 GB
# of NVIDIA K20 "Kepler" processors	14,592
Total System Memory	688 TB
Total System Peak Performance	27 Petaflops

Source (edited): James J. Hack, Director, Oak Ridge National Laboratory



Types of Processor

DO J=1,1000 A(J)=B(J) + C ENDDO

LOAD B(J) FADD C STORE A(J) INCR J TEST

SCALAR PROCESSOR

Single instruction processes one element

LOADV B->V1 FADDV V1,C->V2 STOREV V2->A

VECTOR PROCESSOR

Single instruction processes many elements



The TOP500 project

- started in 1993
- Top 500 sites reported
- Report produced twice a year
 - > EUROPE in JUNE (ISC15)
 - USA in NOV (SC14)
- Performance based on LINPACK benchmark
 - > dominated by matrix multiply (DGEMM)
- High performance conjugate gradient (HPCG) benchmark announced at SC13
- http://www.top500.org/



Top500: SC14 top 6 systems

RANK	SITE	SYSTEM	CORES	RMAX (TFLOP/S)	RPEAK (TFLOP/S)	POWER (KW)
1	National Super Computer Center in Guangzhou China	Tianhe-2 (MilkyWay-2) - TH-IVB-FEP Cluster, Intel Xeon E5-2692 12C 2.200GHz, TH Express-2, Intel Xeon Phi 31S1P NUDT	3,120,000	33,862.7	54,902.4	17,808
2	DOE/SC/Oak Ridge National Laboratory United States	Titan - Cray XK7, Opteron 6274 16C 2.200GHz, Cray Gemini interconnect, NVIDIA K20x Cray Inc.	560,640	17,590.0	27,112.5	8,209
3	DOE/NNSA/LLNL United States	Sequoia - BlueGene/Q, Power BQC 16C 1.60 GHz, Custom IBM	1,572,864	17,173.2	20,132.7	7,890
4	RIKEN Advanced Institute for Computational Science (AICS) Japan	K computer, SPARC64 VIIIfx 2.0GHz, Tofu interconnect Fujitsu	705,024	10,510.0	11,280.4	12,660
5	DOE/SC/Argonne National Laboratory United States	Mira - BlueGene/Q, Power BQC 16C 1.60GHz, Custom IBM	786,432	8,586.6	10,066.3	3,945
6	Swiss National Supercomputing Centre (CSCS) Switzerland	Piz Daint - Cray XC30, Xeon E5-2670 8C 2.600GHz, Aries interconnect , NVIDIA K20x Cray Inc.	115,984	6,271.0	7,788.9	2,325



ECMWF in Top 500

TFlops

Ran	k Site	Computer/Year Vendor	Cores	R _{max}	R _{peak}	Power
28	ECMWF United Kingdom	Cray XC30, Intel Xeon E5-2697v2 2.7GHz, Aries interconnect Cray Inc.	12C 83,160	1,552.0	1,796.3	
29	ECMWF United Kingdom	Cray XC30, Intel Xeon E5-2697v2 2.7GHz, Aries interconnect Cray Inc.	12C 83,160	1,552.0	1,796.3	

R_{max} – Tflop/sec achieved with LINPACK Benchmark R_{peak} – Peak Hardware Tflop/sec (that will never be reached!)



Top500: Performance Development



Performance



Top500: Projected Performance Development



CECMWF

Why is Matrix-Matrix Multiply (DGEMM) so efficient?



FMA's ~= LD's

FMA's >> LD's



Performance Share of Accelerators



CECMWF

Accelerators



- GPU Graphics Processing Unit
 - High performance, low power, but 'challenging' to program for large applications, separate memory, GPU/CPU interface (PCIx 8GB/sec)
 - Expect GPU technology to be more easily useable on future HPCs
- http://gpgpu.org/developer
- GPU hardware today mainly supplied by NVIDIA
- INTEL (Xeon Phi, aka "MIC")
 - "Knights Corner" requires CPU host (via PCIx connector)
 - "Knights Landing" available 2016, does not require CPU host



Key Architectural Features of a Supercomputer



"a balancing act to achieve good sustained performance"



Challenges in parallel computing

Parallel Computers

- Have ever increasing processors, memory, performance, but
- Need more space (new computer halls = \$)
- Need more power (MWs = \$)
- Parallel computers require/produce a lot of data (I/O)
 - Require parallel file systems (GPFS, Lustre) + archive store
- Applications need to scale to increasing numbers of processors, problems areas are
 - > Load imbalance, Serial sections, Global Communications
- Debugging parallel applications (totalview, ddt)
- We are going to be using more processors in the future!
- More cores per socket, little/no clock speed improvements



Parallel Programming Languages

• OpenMP

- directive based (<u>www.openmp.org</u>)
- > support for Fortran and C/C++
- shared memory programming only

• OpenACC

- directive based (<u>www.openacc.org</u>)
- ➤ support for Fortran and C
- ➢ GPU programming (e.g. NVIDIA)
- PGAS (Partitioned Global Address Space)
 - > UPC, Fortran 2008 Coarrays
 - > One programming model for inter and intra node parallelism
 - One-sided communication



OpenMP example

!\$OMP PARALLEL DO SCHEDULE(STATIC,1)&

!\$OMP& PRIVATE(JMLOCF, IM, ISTA, IEND)

DO JMLOCF=NPTRMF (MYSETN), NPTRMF (MYSETN+1)-1

IM=MYMS (JMLOCF)

ISTA=NSPSTAF(IM)

IEND=ISTA+2*(NSMAX+1-IM)-1

CALL SPCSI (CDCONF, IM, ISTA, IEND, LLONEM, ISPEC2V, &

&ZSPVORG, ZSPDIVG, ZSPTG, ZSPSPG)

ENDDO

!\$OMP END PARALLEL DO



Why OpenMP? Ans: For performance and memory



Testing combinations 9216Tx1t, 4608Tx2t, 3072Tx3t, 1536Tx6t, 768Tx12t, 384Tx24t and 192Tx48t



OpenACC example

```
!$acc parallel loop copyin(dt,rmass), &
!$acc private(i,j), present(pos,vel,f,a,np,nd)
do i = 1,np
   do j = 1,nd
      pos(j,i) = pos(j,i) + vel(j,i)*dt + 0.5*dt*dt*a(j,i)
      vel(j,i) = vel(j,i) + 0.5*dt*(f(j,i)*rmass + a(j,i))
      a(j,i) = f(j,i)*rmass
   enddo
enddo
!$acc end parallel loop
```

http://www.ecmwf.int/sites/default/files/HPC-WS-Mozdzynski.pdf

Link includes results of a port of IFS spectral transform kernel to GPU using OpenACC



Fortran2008 coarray (PGAS) example

!\$OMP PARALLEL DO SCHEDULE(DYNAMIC,1) PRIVATE(JM,IM,JW,IPE,ILEN,ILENS,IOFFS,IOFFR)
DO JM=1,D%NUMP

- IM = D%MYMS(JM)
- CALL LTINV(IM, JM, KF OUT LT, KF UV, KF SCALARS, KF SCDERS, ILE12, IDIM1, &
 - & PSPVOR, PSPDIV, PSPSCALAR , &
 - & PSPSC3A, PSPSC3B, PSPSC2 , &
 - & KFLDPTRUV, KFLDPTRSC, FSPGL PROC)
- DO JW=1,NPRTRW
 - CALL SET2PE (IPE, 0, 0, JW, MYSETV)
 - ILEN = D%NLEN M(JW, 1, JM) *IFIELD
 - IF (ILEN > 0) THEN
 - IOFFS = (D%NSTAGTOB(JW)+D%NOFF M(JW,1,JM))*IFIELD
 - IOFFR = (D%NSTAGTOBW(JW, MYSETW) +D%NOFF M(JW, 1, JM)) *IFIELD
 - FOUBUF C(IOFFR+1:IOFFR+ILEN) [IPE]=FOUBUF IN(IOFFS+1:IOFFS+ILEN)

ENDIF

```
ILENS = D%NLEN M(JW,2,JM) *IFIELD
```

IF (ILENS > 0) THEN

```
IOFFS = (D%NSTAGT0B(JW)+D%NOFF M(JW,2,JM))*IFIELD
```

```
IOFFR = (D%NSTAGT0BW(JW,MYSETW)+D%NOFF M(JW,2,JM))*IFIELD
```

```
FOUBUF C(IOFFR+1:IOFFR+ILENS) [IPE]=FOUBUF IN(IOFFS+1:IOFFS+ILENS)
```

ENDIF

```
ENDDO
```

ENDDO

```
!$OMP END PARALLEL DO
```

```
SYNC IMAGES (D%NMYSETW)
```

```
FOUBUF (1: IBLEN) = FOUBUF C (1: IBLEN) [MYPROC]
```



Parallel Programming Libraries

• MPI

- > Most widely used since mid-90's (<u>www.mpi-forum.org</u>)
- > MPI-3.0 standard is 852 pages!
- > MPI-2.2 is the default MPI on most systems
- > Most users will use a small subset of MPI facilities
- Use collectives (e.g. MPI_alltoallv) and non-blocking calls for performance
- > MPI-only application scaling issues?

• GASPI/GPI

- > PGAS one-sided programming (<u>www.gpi-site.com/gpi2</u>)
- Interoperable with MPI



Parallel Programmers use...

• Fortran, C/C++ with MPI for communicating between tasks

- works for applications running on shared and distributed memory systems
- Fortran, C/C++ with OpenMP
 - For applications that need performance that is satisfied by a single node (shared memory)
- Hybrid combination of MPI/OpenMP
 - ECMWF's IFS uses this approach (over 15 years now)
- Hybrid combination of MPI/OpenACC (for GPU)
 - Meteo-Swiss have ported COSMO to NVIDIA GPU
- Early years for DAGs (e.g. MPI + OmpSs)



DAG example: Cholesky Inversion



Introduction to Parallel Computing

Topics in Parallel Computing ...

Cache, Cache line Domain decomposition Halo, halo exchange Load imbalance Synchronization Barrier



Cache



P=Processor C=Cache M=Memory





Cache on scalar systems

- Processors are 100's of cycles away from Memory
- Cache is a small (and fast) memory closer to processor
- Cache line typically 128 bytes
- Good for cache performance
 - Single stride access is always the best
 - Over inner loop leftmost index (fortran)

BETTERWORSEDO J=1,NDO J=1,NDO I=1,MDO I=1,M
$$A(I,J) = ...$$
 $A(J,I) = ...$ ENDDOENDDOENDDOENDDO



IFS Grid-Point Calculations (cache blocking example)



Grid point space blocking for Cache



T799 FC 192x4 (10 runs)





T_L799 1024 tasks 2D partitioning (used in past)



2D partitioning results in non-optimal Semi-Lagrangian comms requirement at poles and equator!

Square shaped partitions are better than rectangular shaped partitions.





eq_regions partitioning algorithm (used in IFS)

2

Paul Leopardi



Fig. 1.1. Partition EQ(2, 33)

where e(x, y) is the \mathbb{R}^{d+1} Euclidean distance ||x - y||.

The following definitions are specific to the main theorems stated in this paper. DEFINITION 1.3. A set Z of partitions of \mathbb{S}^d is said to be diameter-bounded with diameter bound $K \in \mathbb{R}_+$ if for all $P \in Z$, for each $R \in P$,

diam $R \leq K |P|^{-1/d}$.

Definition 1.4. The set of recursive zonal equal area partitions of \mathbb{S}^d is defined as

$$EQ(d) := {EQ(d, N) | N \in \mathbb{N}_+}.$$
 (1.2)

where EQ(d, N) denotes the recursive zonal equal area partition of the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d into N regions, which is defined via the algorithm given in Section 3.

This paper claims that the partition defined via the algorithm given in Section 3 is an equal area partition which is diameter bounded. This is formally stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 1.5. For $d \geqslant 1$ and $N \geqslant 1$, the partition $\mathrm{EQ}(d,N)$ is an equal area partition of \mathbb{S}^d .

Theorem 1.6. For $d \ge 1$, EQ(d) is diameter-bounded in the sense of Definition 1.3.





CECMWF

Halo example : IFS Semi-Lagrangian Transport

- Computation of a trajectory from each grid-point backwards in time, and
- Interpolation of various quantities at the departure and at the mid-point of the trajectory





Halo's in IFS (T799 model, 256 tasks, showing task 11)





Black – grid points owned by task 11

Blue – halo grid points , max wind x time-step

Red – grid points in halo actually used by task 11





Bottom two graphics LH – using MPI RH – using Fortran2008 coarrays (PGAS)



5 km IFS model scaling on TITAN (Fortran2008 coarrays)





Characteristics of codes that will perform well on all parallel computers

Computation

- High computational intensity
- Little use of memory bandwidth
- Memory
 - Locality of reference
 - Registers or first level cache
- Communication
 - Infrequent nearest neighbour or no communication
- Input/Output
 - Relatively low volume, or
 - Parallel implementation (in dedicated nodes)





