Implementation of SMOS data monitoring in the ECMWF Integrated Forecast System.

- Preliminary results -

Joaquín Muñoz Sabater

Patricia de Rosnay, <u>Mathias Drusch</u>, Mohamed Dahoui , Steven Delwart and Norrie Wright



Outline

- ECMWF contribution to the SMOS mission,
- Implementation of SMOS data in the IFS
 - NRT product
 - · Pre-processing,
 - Computations in model grid-point,
 - Main obstacles encountered in the implementation.

Preliminary results

- · SMOS offline data monitoring webpage,
- · First-guess departures preliminary assessment,
- · Preliminary results using time series.



How does ECMWF contribute to the SMOS mission?

1. Global monitoring of Level-1C brightness temperatures at H and V polarisation and at several incidence angles.

 For NWP applications, monitoring compares forecast, or analysis, and observed data:



• Results will be available in NRT through the ECMWF satellite monitoring webpage.



How does ECMWF contribute to the SMOS mission?

- Assimilation of SMOS Level-1C brightness temperatures over land with an EKF scheme → the main objective is to investigate the meteorological impact caused by the assimilation of SMOS data.
 - Optimal least-square estimator for soil moisture (w_a):



Vienna 04-May-2010

EGU General Assembly 2010

Implementation of SMOS data in the IFS. Overview





NRT latency – December 2009

\$Instrument_\$SensingTime1_\$SensingTime2_\$Satellite_\$orbit_\$datatype_\$GeneratingTime_\$datalevel.bufr



SMOS files - Delay ESA-ECMWF

NRT latency – January 2010



SMOS files - Delay ESA-ECMWF

NRT latency – February 2010



SMOS files - Delay ESA-ECMWF

EGU General Assembly 2010

Vienna 04-May-2010



NRT latency – March 2010



EGU General Assembly 2010

Vienna 04-May-2010



NRT latency – April 2010





Vienna 04-May-2010



SMOS data pre-processing

- routinely checks:
- header corresponds to SMOS data,
- geographical coordinates not missing,
- date and time complete, etc.
- Validity of data checks:
- data has a correct position,
- TBs are within physically bounds, etc.
- 450 20091128 400 20091220 20100116 350 **Rejected radiances** 300 250 200 150 100 50 0 2500 5000 7500 10000 12500 15000 17500 O **Snapshot number**

- Data thinning,
- Volume of SMOS daily data is very large (~4 Gby for dual-pol, ~8 Gby for full-pol), comparable to IASI data! → thinning is necessary to reduce amount of data and redundancy.

Others checks, pre-tasks, can potentially be implemented here... (RFI filtering, data thinning based on angular criteria, etc.)



Main tasks in model space

Collocation of SMOS observations to a ECMWF model grid.

Observations screening (flags are given for land, ocean, active observations, etc.)

► Forward computation is carried out at model grid-point with the IFS version of the Community Microwave Emission Model (CMEM),

► First-guess departures are computed at model grid-point, by comparing model background and the nearest SMOS observation to the grid-point.

► All the information (flags, forward computation, first-guess, etc.) is stored in an internal database for further use.





Main difficulties encountered

Volume of SMOS data,

- More computing resources and time is needed to process and test the data,
- Some scripts show difficulties to cope with very large files and need re-adaptation,

Particular measuring principle (observation of the same area under different illumination conditions at different time stamps) produces very large internal data bases which need special treatment,

- Structure of SMOS database needs re-structuration to make it operational,
- Independent multi-polarisation, multi-angular computations needed special treatment,
- Statistics package needs to be adapted,

► The observation operator CMEM was not designed to run in a multi-thread environment and it was designed to be run just for a single incidence angle.

• CMEM code and administrating routines needed to be adapted to make them compatible with the IFS structure,



Preliminary results

Offline SMOS data monitoring webpage;

- Available since November-2009,
- Since January-2010 only NRT data is monitored and published,
- Global maps of Level-1C NRT product,
- Horizontal and vertical polarisations at 0°, 10°, 20°, 30°, 40°, 50° and 60°,

http://www.ecmwf.int/research/ESA_projects/SMOS/monitoring/smos_monitor.html

Global statistics of SMOS data and first-guess departures;

• After CY36R4, statistics will be available in NRT, either in the o-suite or in an offline suite.

• Preliminary detailed assessment of main sources of first-guess departures can be carried out with a few cycles of data,

 Systematic bias or spurious errors can be identified through time series of global statistics.



SMOS offline monitoring webpage







80°N

60°N

40°N

20°N

20°S

40°S

60°S

80°S

80°N

60°N

40°N

20°N

0°

20°S

40°S

60°S

80°S

80°N

60°N

40°N

20°N

0°

20°S

40°S

60°S

80°S

0°











16-Jan-10

NRT

- 22 January 2010,
- First 4DVAR 12h cycle,
- Global scale,
- all incidence angles included,
- no mask applied on vegetation or snow



 If soil moisture is forecasted to be zero, a mask prevent of computing TB values. Therefore for these locations fg_depar=obsvalue !



DB column: fg_depar@body Total number of points: 121670 min: -234 max: 209 mean: -6.39 std: 39.9 6000 H-pol 5000 4000 3000 2000 1000 0 -200 -50 ò 50 100 150 200 -150 -100



- After bugs removal, still some departures are too cold or too warm.

Vienna 04-May-2010





FG departures

EGU General Assembly 2010

Vienna 04-May-2010

slide 19

Orography







ECMWF

Map of anomalous departures (> 75 K or < 75 K)





slide 21

EGU General Assembly 2010

Vienna 04-May-2010



Preliminary assessment of fg-departures

-Departures too large \rightarrow observations >> model :

- Location: mainly in Europe and Central-West Asia,
- Contributing causes:
 - mountainous areas,
 - areas contaminated by RFI.
- Departures too negative → model >> observations.
 - Location: South-Europe, North-Africa and some areas of China and Australia.
 - Contributing causes:
 - coastlines,
 - dry areas at large incidence angles.

Snow-covered areas, boreal forest and desserts show departures around
 -20 K and +20 K. These effects are stronger in H-pol.

 These results need to be confirmed and further investigated with systematic statistics determined at global scale and at different incidence angles.

ay-2010



- Ex. 01-07 March-2010

- Average of observations STD in bins of 0.5°.
- Vertical polarisation; 55° < obs incidence_angle < 60°
- RFI is clearly visible

STATISTICS FOR RADIANCES FROM SMOS STDV OF OBSERVATIONS [] (ALL) DATA PERIOD = 2010-03-01 12 - 2010-03-07 12, HOUR= ALL EXP = FC5I, CHANNEL = 2 (FOVS: 55-60)Min: 0.132583 Max: 137.734 Mean: 11.4978



- Ex. 01-07 March-2010

• RFI impact on FG departures STD is large,

• Excluding RFI contaminated aread, most of first-guess departures STD are below 9 K. Larger values found in boreal forests and dry areas.



Vienna 04-May-2010

- Ex. 01-07 March-2010 (H-pol)

35-40

 RADIANCES from SMOS

 Channel = 1 (FOVS: 35-40), All data

 Area: lon_w= 0.0, lon_e= 360.0, lat_n= -90.0, lat_s= 90.0 (over Land)

 EXP = fc5i

45-50

 RADIANCES from SMOS

 Channel = 1 (FOVS: 45-50), All data

 Area: lon_w= 0.0, lon_e= 360.0, lat_n= -90.0, lat_s= 90.0 (over Land)

 EXP = fc5i



- Ex. 01-07 March-2010 (V-pol)

Observations anomalies can be easily seen through Hovmoeller plots.





Summary

ECMWF contribution to the SMOS mission includes two main components:

- Global monitoring of Level-1C TB,
- Data assimilation study.
- Implementation of SMOS data in the IFS was complex and challenging,
- ► The 'SMOS chain' depends critically on the NRT product latency,
- An offline data monitoring webpage is available since Dec.09 and regularly updated.
- Preliminary analyses on first-guess departures suggest that:
 - RFI is the most important source of positive bias,
 - Snow, ice, mountains, boreal forest and dry areas produce also a significant disagreement with the observations,
 - The implementation of SMOS passive monitoring permit to identify any source of systematic differences with observations.

