2016 International Teams in Space and Earth Sciences at the ISSI\_Beijing Team: Snow reanalyses over the Himalaya-Tibetan Plateau region and the monsoons

### some aspects of monsoon research at IAP

# Yali Zhu, Institute of Atmospheric Physics zhuyl@mail.iap.ac.cn



# Outline

- the late 1990s' shift in East China summer rainfall
- connection between autumn Arctic sea ice and spring Eurasia soil moisture
- Simulation and Projection of Permafrost degradation on the Tibetan Plateau

# 1. the late 1990s' shift in East China summer rainfall

# **Background**: changes in East China summer rainfall pattern in the late 1990s



### Increased (decreased) summer rainfall over HR (YR) after the late 1990s



# **SST** difference

#### 2000-2010 minus 1979-1998



Model: CAM 4 2.5° lat  $\times$  1.9° lon (1) control experiment (Exp<sub>ctl</sub>)

(2) sensitive experiments

(Exp<sub>Psst</sub>/Exp<sub>Asst</sub>)

# - PDO + AMO

# **Omega & Rainfall**





### 200 hPa wind: weakened EAWJS



# Zonal wind velocity (90°-120°E)



### Meridional-vertical wind



### Results from CGCM: CCSM4

#### Zhu et al., 2016, AAS

- CCSM4:
  - Atmosphere component: 1°, 26 levels
  - Ocean component1°, 60 levels
  - Sea ice and land surface scheme
- 501-year control run
  - Fixed concentration of greenhouse gases and tropospheric aerosol (1850)
- Only internal variability, no long-term trend

### Summer precipitation:



•12

### 200 hPa wind and geopotential height



### Vertical velocity $\omega$ (110°–120°E average)



### Observation: late 1970s' and 1990s' change



## **Key Points**

- Using AGCM CAM4, PDO can significantly contribute to the summer rainfall changes in East China.
- CGCM results: When the opposite phase of PDO and AMO appear simultaneously, significant anomalous rainfall appear over eastern China. But the relationship between PDO and AMO is not stable.
- EAWJS acts as a bridge in linking the PDO/AMO with summer rainfall in East China through modulating the local meridional-vertical circulation.

### Snow depth over the Tibetan Plateau--station data



FIG. 2. Time series of (a) winter and (b) spring snow depth  $(cm day^{-1})$  over the Tibetan Plateau, averaged for the 72 stations from 1979 to 2011. The dashed curve indicates the third-order polynomial fit. The horizontal dashed lines indicate averaged values for the two decadal periods 1979–99 and 2000–11. The horizontal solid lines indicate averaged values for the period 1979–2011.



FIG. 3. Changes (2000–11 mean minus 1980–99 mean) in winter snow depth (cm day<sup>-1</sup>) over the Tibetan Plateau based on the surface-observed data. The shaded areas are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level according to a Student's *t* test.

#### From Si and Ding, 2013

 2. interdecadal change in the connection between Autumn Arctic Sea ice & spring Eurasia soil moiture

### 1st SVD mode (1948-2012)



 $<sup>-0.8 \ -0.7 \ -0.6 \ -0.5 \ -0.4 \ -0.3 \ -0.2 \ -0.1 \ 0.1 \ 0.2 \ 0.3 \ 0.4 \ 0.5 \ 0.6 \ 0.7 \ 0.8</sup>$ 

### interdecadal change after 1985



20

## interdecadal change





### atmospheric circulation & SIC in autumn



### atmospheric circulation & SIC in autumn





SSTI: difference between 155°E-155°W, 10°S-10°N and 150°E-170°W, 20°-30°N

### correlation with the SSTI after 1985



### SNOW COVER w cover extent, SON

60N -

30N

30E

1972-1984, cor sic

120E

150E

30E

60E



90E

. 120E 150E

#### SON



90E

60E



DJF



# **Summary**

- The autumn Arctic sea ice (150°E-150°W, 70°-80°N) is significantly related with the spring Eurasian soil moisture (60-70°N, 110-130°E) after the mid-1980s at interannual timescale.
- Positive anomalies of autumn Arctic sea ice → wave train pattern from the Arctic to Pacific → SST anomalies over the Pacific persist into spring → inducing higher temperature and less precipitation over Eurasia (60-70°N, 110-130°E) → lower soil moisture there

 Simulation and Projection of Permafrost degradation on the Tibetan Plateau

# Method and data



# Validation: Simulation VS. Observation



# Simulated Permafrost Change

2000s



Area: 164,156,147 × 10<sup>4</sup> km<sup>2</sup> for 1980s,1990s,2000s,respectively

# Simulated Series of Permafrost Area



# **Projected Permafrost Degradation**



#### (Guo et al., 2012, JGR)

<b>×10⁴ km²</b>	1980-2000	2030-2050	2080-2100
Permafrost Area	122.2	74.9 <u>(–39%)</u>	22.9 <u>(–81%)</u>

# **Projected Series of Permafrost Area**





Simulated present-day permafrost status was <u>reasonable</u>.

Permafrost area decreased by  $9.2 \times 10^4$  km<sup>2</sup>/decade during 1981-2010.

Permafrost area would decrease by <u>39%</u> by the mid-21<sup>st</sup> century and by <u>81%</u> by the end of 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Permafrost degradation could result in <u>reallocation</u> of total runoff.

# Thank you for your attention.