Snow processes in the ECMWF model

Emanuel Dutra

endutra@fc.ul.pt

Snow in the ECMWF model

Outline

- Snow representation in the ECMWF model:
 - Energy/Water balance;
 - Density/ Albedo / Snow cover fraction;
 - Evaluation examples.
- Ongoing & future work:
 - Multi-layer snow scheme
 - Earth2Observe : multi-model perspective
 - Snow depth data assimilation

Snow in HTESSEL



Two tiles:

1) Exposed snow;

2) Snow under high vegetation

Single snow-pack evolution

Prognostic evolution:

1)Snow mass

2)Snow density

3) Snow temperature

4) Snow Albedo

Diagnostics:

- 1) Snow depth
- 2) Snow cover fraction
- 3) Liquid water content

See Dutra et al. 2010 for more details

Snow in HTESSEL – energy balance



Snow liquid water capacity

Snow in HTESSEL – water balance

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = F + c_{\rm sn} F_l - c_{\rm sn} E_{\rm sn} - R_{\rm sn}$$
Snow mass Rainfall Sublimation

- Rainfall and Sublimation only over the snow cover fraction
- Rainfall is considered to reach the snow at freezing point
- Runoff is the rate at which liquid water leaves the snowpack
- Runoff is generated when the liquid water content exceeds the snow liquid water capacity

$$\frac{1}{\rho_{\mathrm{sn}}} \frac{\partial \rho_{\mathrm{sn}}}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{sn}}}{\eta_{\mathrm{sn}}(T_{\mathrm{sn}}, \rho_{\mathrm{sn}})} + \xi_{\mathrm{sn}}(T_{\mathrm{sn}}, \rho_{\mathrm{sn}}) + \frac{\max(0, Q_{\mathrm{sn}}^{\mathrm{INT}})}{L_f(S - S_l)}$$

- 1st term: overburden increase density due to the snow weight
- 2nd term: thermal metamorphism: snow crystal destruction with time as function of temperature and density
- 3rd term: Increased density due to re-freezing

$$\rho_{sn}^{*} = \frac{S + \Delta tF}{\frac{\Delta tF}{\rho_{new}} + \frac{S}{\rho_{sn}^{t}}}$$

- Snow density update after snowfall;
- Snowfall density as a function of Wind speed, and air temperature

$$\rho_{\mathrm{sn}}^{t+1} = (\rho_{\mathrm{sn}}^* - \rho_{\mathrm{sn}_{\mathrm{max}}}) \exp(-\tau_f \Delta t / \tau_1) + \rho_{\mathrm{sn}_{\mathrm{max}}}$$

Snow density formulation before 2009

Snow in HTESSEL – snow albedo

$$\alpha_{\rm sn}^{t+1} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{\rm sn}^t - \tau_a \Delta t / \tau_1, & M_{\rm sn} = \\ (\alpha_{\rm sn}^t - \alpha_{\rm min}) \exp(-\tau_f \Delta t / \tau_1) + \alpha_{\rm min}, & M_{\rm sn} > \end{cases}$$

- Linear decay in normal conditions;
- Exponential decay in melting condictions;
- Min/Max albedos of 0.5 and 0.85.

$$\alpha_{\rm sn}^{t+1} = \alpha_{\rm sn}^t + \min\left(1, \frac{F\Delta t}{10}\right)(\alpha_{\rm max} - \alpha_{\rm sn}^t)$$

Reset snow albedo after snowfall : requires 10 kg m² to reset to maximum

Vegetation type	Albedo
Evergreen needleleaf trees	0.27
Deciduous needleleaf trees	0.33
Deciduous broadleaf trees	0.31
Evergreen broadleaf trees	0.38
Mixed forest-woodland	0.29
Interrupted forest	0.29

- For snow under high vegetation we keep the albedo constant (derived from Satellite data)
- Neglect seasonal variations

Snow in HTESSEL – snow cover fraction

 $c_{\rm sn} = \min\left(1, \frac{S/\rho_{\rm sn}}{0.1}\right)$

Snow cover fraction as a function of snow depth

- Affects the tile fraction : direct albedo effect
- Energy balance indirectly
- Actual snow depth: $D_{
 m sn} = rac{1}{
 ho_{
 m sn}} rac{S}{c_{
 m sn}}$





We have a depth "barrier" at 10 cm Pile the snow as snow mass / snow-cover fraction reduces

Snow in the ECMWF model

Point evaluation



Point simulations (offline) in a forest and open areas nearby CTR (gray) : model before 2009 NEW (black) : current model

- Better simulations of snow mass
 - Albedo changes
 - Liquid water representation
- Improved snow density
 - Before exponential increase
 - Snow follows closely observations. Still some problems during melting

Basin scale evaluation



Regional offline simulations over the Ob (Siberia basin)

- Increase in snow mass
 Interception of rainfall
- Lower densities during accumulation/winter seasons
- Reduction of soil freezing
- Increase of surface runoff
- Decrease of bottom drainage
- Improvement of total runoff
- Lower densities -> less coupling -> less soil freezing -> less surface runfoff

Snow in HTESSEL – Snow cover / albedo



The early spring snow melt was in part associated with a negative bias in albedo (less albedo + energy absorbed => faster melting)

Frequency of missing snow cover (early melting) Largely reduced in the current model



Outline

Snow representation in the ECMWF model:

- Energy/Water balance;
- Density/ Albedo / Snow cover fraction;
- Evaluation examples.
- Ongoing & future work:
 - Multi-layer snow scheme
 - Earth2Observe : multi-model perspective
 - Snow depth data assimilation

Snow/Soil multi-layer

- Why?
 - Represent a shorter time-scale to the snow/soil temperatur
 representation of very cold surface events (snow):
 - representation of very cold surface events (snow);
 - Enhance diurnal cycle & match with satellite soil moisture;
- Snow multi-layer :
 - Flexible number of layers (main tests with 5);
 - New prognostic snow liquid water content;
 - Simple iteration of the surface energy balance in melting con skin temperature at freezing point;
- Soil multi-layer:
 - Flexible number of layer (main tests with 9);
 - Identical with 4 layers (current configuration);
- New thermal coupling formulation for the skin conductivity (both for snow & soil)



Fréville et al. 2014 Skin temperature vs MODIS



Snow multi-layer

ML5
 ML5_SK5
 ML5_SK5_LIMITS
 obs

Dec 2003

lan 2004

Feb 2004

Mar 2004

5 150

10

Ground flux =
$$\Lambda_{sk,i}(T_{sk,i} - T_1)$$

$$\Delta z_1 = \frac{T_{sk}}{T_1} \Lambda_{sk,i} = 2 \frac{\lambda_1}{\Delta z_1},$$

Applied both for the snow & bare soil instead of a constant value



CTR ML5 current coupling ML5 new coupling ML5 new coupling & EB iteration



Apr 2004

- EB iteration partially avoids numerical instabilities and keeps Tsk <= freezing point ;
- Could consider reformulating the EB tiling approach...



Snow multi-layer



- Soil at 10 cm 280 278 276 274 272 BASE ML5 270 ML5_SK5_LIMIT5 268 Nov 2009 Dec 2009 Jan 2010 Feb 2010 Mar 2010 Apr 2010 May 2010 Tsoil 20cm 280 Soil at 20 cm 278 276 274 272 BASE ML5 270 ML5 SK5 LIMIT5 268 Dec 2009 lan 2010 Feb 2010 Mar 2010 Apr 2010 May 2010
- Still some work to do with the Albedo parameterization;
 - Undesirable coupling between snow temperature and Albedo
 - Maybe consider a formulation based on snow grain size ?
 - Snow cover fraction ?
 - Vegetation?

Ongoing – multi-layer (2)



Climate simulations, impact on 2-meters temperature:

Multi-layer snow leads to a stronger cooling of surface temperature Increased decoupling as each snow layer is thermally insulated

Earth2Observe: MM DJF snow cover



- Areas of larger errors in the MM tend to be areas with larger MM std;
- MM is not a model realization, should be evaluated considering the spread:
 - e.g.: West/East Tibetan Plateau: systematic bias vs MM uncertainty.

Testing of stand-alone snow data assimilation



- Snow 2D OI using the GHCN daily snow depth
- Reduction of the bias and standard deviation of the errors (2000-2010) (blue lines)
- Some positive impact of the multi-layer scheme over Eurasia (magenta line)
- What about the remaining water cycle components ?

Testing of stand-alone snow data assimilation



- Snow data assimilation is increasing the snow mass over the Ob basin (general over N. Eurasia):
 - Is it realistic ? (need to validate against SWE)
 - Compensating for Snow density problems ?
 - What is the impact on runoff ?

Testing of stand-alone snow data assimilation



- The increase snow mass has a small impact on runoff, with a slight reduction:
 - All the snow increments added during winter are also removed during
 April
 - The current scheme does not trigger snow melt effectively (sign of late melting in the model ?)
 - The current "direct insertion" of snow depth increments in to snow mass is effective for the snow depth but not for the water balance.

Final notes

- Rain on snow
 - Rainfall is assumed to fall at freezing point : No heat flux advection
 - Rainfall interception partially treated but would require a prognostic liquid water reservoir for a proper evolution (in the multi-layer);
- Blowing snow
 - No snow mass displacement (reasonable at the resolutions we ran the model);
 - Neglect the effects of blowing snow sublimation (estimates of about +/- 5 kg m² during a winter season over Siberia (Brun et. al 2012);
- Soil Freezing
 - Once the soil is frozen there is no infiltration (sub-grid scale variability ? Preferential flow?)
- Snow albedo and snow cover fraction
 - sub-grid scale variability and orography
- How to map snow depth increments from the Snow 2D OI in the model prognostics;
- Lack of detailed evaluation over the Himalaya-Tibetan Plateau :
 - Region with large multi-model variability;
 - This would be a very interesting region to further test the multi-layer and snow albedo / cover fraction schemes.

THANKS